INTERIM GUIDELINES FOR THE APPLICATION OF HUMAN ELEMENT ANALYSING PROCESS (HEAP) TO THE IMO RULE-MAKING PROCESS


The HEAP is a practical tool, designed to address the human element, to be used for consideration of maritime safety and environmental protection issues at IMO. The flowchart is provided in accordance with Assembly resolution A.850(20) “Human Element Vision, Principles and Goals” goal (a) of which states: “to have in place a structured approach for the proper consideration of human element issues for use in the development of regulations and guidelines by all Committees and Sub-Committees”. The steps outlined in the flowchart list a series of questions that should be considered to appropriately address the human element in the regulatory development process.

These Guidelines are intended to facilitate trial applications of the HEAP and they should remain interim as long as it is necessary to gain experience. Such trial applications will lead to a greater understanding of HEAP by all parties, and identify improvements to the process.

Member Governments and non-governmental organizations are invited to carry out trial applications of HEAP in accordance with the Guidelines at annex, and to submit the results to the Organization.

***
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ASSOCIATED EXPLANATORY NOTES TO THE HEAP FLOW CHART

The HEAP is a practical tool, designed to address the human element, to be used for consideration of maritime safety and environmental protection issues at IMO. The flowchart is provided in accordance with Assembly Resolution A.850(20) “Human Element Vision, Principles and Goals”, goal (a) of which states: the aim “to have in place a structured approach for the proper consideration of human element issues for use in the development of regulations and guidelines by all Committees and Sub-Committees”. The steps outlined in the flowchart list a series of questions that should be considered to appropriately address the human element in the regulatory development process. To assist in the proper application in the use of the HEAP, the following general description is provided:

**Maritime Safety or Environmental Protection Issue**

1 **Issue identification**

The identification of a maritime safety or environmental protection issue is external to the HEAP and can be accomplished through several methods, such as the review of existing IMO instruments, the review of casualties or the identification of other marine circumstances which may cause concern. The issue identification process should result in a clear, concise issue statement and a determination of the parameters containing who, what, where, how, when, to what extent and an appropriate description with supporting information.

2 **Is IMO action appropriate?**

When seeking to resolve the identified issue, it must be decided whether or not it is appropriate for IMO to be involved and whether a solution developed by IMO is the only action which may be taken. In some cases, it may be more appropriate to refer the matter to another organization or group requesting that they develop a solution not requiring the development, or change to, IMO instruments.
3 **Review all areas affected**

If IMO action is appropriate, revisions to and application of existing IMO instruments should be the first consideration. Where the Organization determines the existing instruments or initiatives cannot be applied to resolve the issue, then development of a new IMO instrument(s) should be considered. As a first step to applying HEAP, it is important to ensure that if the proposal requires additional regulations in other areas such as technical, manning, education, management, or working environment, that these areas receive due consideration to ensure all aspects of the human element are fully covered.
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4 **Human element checklist**

The following checklist is provided for use in verifying that the human element has been adequately considered. It consists of five subject areas that should be considered when using this tool. It must also be recognized that these lists are intended as a practical guide and are neither exhaustive nor necessarily applicable to all situations.
### Technical (The vessel and/or its equipment)
- Design
- Ergonomics
- Manufacture/construction
- Installation
- Initial and periodic testing
- Approval
- Maintenance
- Repairs
- Modifications
- Renewals
- Expected marine environment
- Operations

### Management (Ashore and aboard)
- Policy
- Safety culture
- Motivation
- Communication links
- Responsibility
- Authority
- Work planning
- Contingency planning
- Emergency response
- Manuals
- Procedures
- Instructions
- Work methods
- Checklists
- Education and Training

### Manning (Master and crew of the vessel)
- Qualifications
- Number of crew members
- Composition of crew
- Culture
- Working Language
- Medical Conditions
- Competence

### Training (Ashore and aboard)
- Basic Safety Training
- Familiarization
- Drills
- Extended safety training
- Training of personnel ashore

### Work Environment/conditions (aboard ship)
- Hazardous materials
- Man-machine interface
- Personnel protection
- Physical hazards
- Hours of work
- Hours of rest
- Fatigue
- Estimated workload
- Actual marine environment
- Living conditions

---

1. It is interpreted to mean marine environment preconditions (e.g. sea state, air temperature).
2. There are some technical regulations which have an influence on operations (e.g. MARPOL Regulation 26).
3. It is interpreted to mean personnel culture (e.g. multi-national crew).
4. It is a technical issue which has implications on the work environment.
5. Workload including watchkeeping, cargo duty, maintenance, and possible breakdowns.
5 Develop necessary revisions or new instruments:

After area identification has been completed, the necessary revisions should be undertaken with a focus on ensuring the human element principles have been taken into account.

6 Is the issue resolved?

Before accepting any solution to an issue, a process should be undertaken to verify that the safety concerns identified in the original safety issue were addressed. The following series of questions is designed to ensure the proposed solution takes into account the various aspects of the human element that contribute to unsafe acts and accidents. By determining the impact of the solution on the parameters who, what, where, how, when, and to what extent), the degree of success can be established and it can be determined if the issue has been resolved, in part, or not resolved.

7 Human element principles (Assembly resolution A.850(20))

Any proposed solution must take into account the human element principles adopted by the Organization.

- The human element is a complex multi-dimensional issue that affects maritime safety and marine environmental protection. It involves the entire spectrum of human activities performed by ship’s crews, shore based management, regulatory bodies, recognised organizations, shipyards, legislators and other relevant parties and they need to cooperate to address human element issues effectively.

- The Organization, when developing regulations, should honour the seafarer by seeking and respecting the opinions of those that do the work at sea.

- Effective remedial action following maritime casualties requires a sound understanding of human element involvement in accident causation. This comes by the thorough investigation and systematic analysis of casualties for contributory factors and the causal chain of events.
In the process of developing regulations, it should be recognized that adequate safeguards must be in place to ensure that a single person error will not cause an accident through the application of these regulations.

Rules and regulations addressing the seafarers directly should be simple, clear and comprehensive.

Crew performance is a function of individual capabilities, management policies, cultural factors, experience, training, job skills, work environment and countless other factors.

Dissemination of information through effective communication is essential to sound management and operational decisions.

Consideration of human element matters should aim at decreasing the possibility of human error as far as possible.

8 Single person error

A single person error must not lead to an accident. The situation must be such that errors can be corrected or their effect minimised. Corrections can be carried out by equipment, individuals or others. This involves ensuring that the proposed solution does not rely solely on the performance of a single individual. An example is a pilot conning a ship without any support from the master or officer of the watch.

9 Slips lapses, mistakes & violations

Slips are errors relating to the execution of day to day tasks where there has been inattention or over attention. Lapses are similar to slips where forgetfulness or absentmindedness cause errors. Mistakes may result from errors of judgement, calculation or interpretation of information. Violations involve the deliberate breach of accepted practices and procedures, guidelines, operating instructions, or regulations. Violations may be the result of taking short cuts to save time or effort. Although inherently unsafe, such practices may become institutionalised and increase the risk threshold and the probability of an accident. Violations may be the result of poorly written guidelines or regulations and the failure of management to effectively audit practices and procedures on board vessels.
10 Latent failures or underlying factors

Latent failures or underlying factors relate to pre-existing conditions that may exist within systems or organizations, which given the right combination of circumstances, may contribute to an unsafe situation. They include such conditions as, organizational, design, maintenance, communication failures etc.

11 Man/Machine Interface

Involves the compatibility of ship design and equipment design with the individuals that work on a ship or use the equipment. The man/machine interface includes issues such as human input aspects, easily understood information display and the interaction between the human operator and the ‘machine’. The aim is to achieve uniform design and layout, to use internationally recognised symbols on equipment controls, using established ergonomic principles, criteria and requirements, combined with appropriate education and training.

12 Consequences and risks

The final step in the process is to make sure that the consequences of human failure have been addressed, and that the Organization will accept any remaining consequences/risks. If not, the Organization should re-evaluate the proposed solutions until an acceptable solution is reached.